



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,125	03/26/2001	Hiroyasu Sugano	1405.1040	8745
21171	7590	02/11/2004	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			NALVEN, ANDREW L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	H

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/816,125

Applicant(s)

SUGANO ET AL.

Examiner

Andrew L Nalven

Art Unit

2134

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper 2 of 3/26/01.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-19 are pending.
2. Claim to foreign priority to the date 9/27/98 has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-6 and 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Theimar et al US Patent No 5,493,692. Theimar teaches a system for selective delivery of messages between multimedia computers based upon context and environment of the user.
5. With regards to claims 1 and 14, Theimar discloses the administering of information related to statuses of the users (Theimar, column 7 lines 1-16, Figure 3), the storing of users requesting the services, content of the requested services, and status of the users related to the request services correlatively with processes for the service requests (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 17). Theimar further discloses that when a service request has been made by one user (Theimar, Figure 4 Item 126), that statuses of the other users related to the service request are obtained (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 3) and based on the one user who requested a

service, on the other users related to the requested service, and on the obtained user status, the determining of a process for the service request is completed (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 6 and column 14 lines 43-48).

6. With regards to claim 2, Theimar, in addition to the features described above, further teaches the preparing of a processing policy in which processes for communication requests are set for each of the users (Theimar, column 14 line 62 – column 15 line 15), the processes each in turn being according to a one user from whom there is a request for communication with another user (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6), to status of the other user with whom communication is requested (Theimar, column 10 line 67 – column 11 line 3), and to content of the requested communication (Theimar, column 14 lines 43-48 and column 14 lines 62-64). Theimar also discloses that when a request for communication occurs, the step of determining and reporting to the communication device a process for the request based, in the policy, on the user with whom communication is requested is completed (Theimar, column 14 lines 43-48, column 11 lines 6-17).

7. With regards to claims 3, 4, 9, 12-13, and 15-19, Theimar, in addition to the features described above, further teaches a first storing means for storing information related to users (Theimar, column 7 line 65 – column 8 line 4), authentication means for verifying the communication requestor when a request for communication occurs (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6), liaising means for acquiring from the communication device the communication requester, requestee, and content of the communication (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 6 and column 14 lines 43-48). Theimar

Art Unit: 2134

further teaches an information recording means for accepting input of recording in the first storing means the information related to users (Theimar, column 9 lines 54-64, column 10 lines 3-7), a status recording means for accepting input of an recording in the storing means the statuses of the users (Theimar, column 9 line 60 – column 10 line 2), and a policy recording means for accepting input of and recording in the storing means the processing policy (Theimar, column 10 lines 3-7).

8. With regards to claims 5 and 10, Theimar, in addition to the features described above, further teaches a storing means for storing an attribute-assigning policy in which an attribute of the one user who requests communication with the other user is set for the other user (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6) and the determining means based on the obtained processing policy further consults the attribute-assigning policy (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-17).

9. With regards to claims 6 and 11, Theimar, in addition to the features described above, further teaches an inquiry means for inquiring among communication requestee terminals whether to permit the communication request (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 3) and for obtaining a reply to the inquiry (Theimar, column 11 lines 12-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2134

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimar et al US Patent No 5,493,692 in view of Aggarwal et al US Patent No. 5,943,478.

12. With regards to claim 7, Theimar, as described above, fails to teach a request instructing means for requesting information content related to a terminal from other terminals if the information is not recorded in the first storing means. Aggarwal teaches the requesting of information content from another terminal if the information content is not stored in the first recording medium (Aggarwal, column 3 lines 41-53). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Aggarwal's information requesting means because it offers the advantage of allowing a terminal to send a message to any other terminal regardless of whether the requesting terminal is known to a terminal previously (Aggarwal, column 1 line 58 – column 2 line 2).

13. With regards to claim 8, Theimar as modified, fails to teach a peripheral information providing means for providing information content not stored in the first recording means. Aggarwal teaches a peripheral information providing means that provides information content related to terminals to a terminal in order to handle a communication request (Aggarwal, column 3 lines 45-49).

Conclusion


Art Unit: 2134

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Nalven whose telephone number is 703 305 8407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703 308 4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALW


GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100